

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/667,671	TAKEI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Marc S. Zimmer.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Krsten Gruneberg.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

---

Claims discussed:

9-11

Prior art documents discussed:

---

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised that, in view of their amendments, there no longer was any antecedent basis in claim 1 for the limitations outlined in claims 9-11. Further, Applicant was advised that, were they to remedy this matter by re-establishing antecedent basis for these claims, the art of record would once again become a basis for rejection. Applicant authorized the cancellation of claims 9-11..